

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | F      | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.      |  |  |
|--------------------------|--------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 09/254,333               |        | 03/04/1999  | RUDOLF SCHWARTE      | 1184-6LAM               | 84-6LAM 6824          |  |  |
| 616                      | 7590   | 06/10/2004  |                      | EXAMINER                |                       |  |  |
| THE MAX                  |        |             | LUU, THANH X         |                         |                       |  |  |
| 750 "B" STI<br>SAN DIEGO |        |             |                      | ART UNIT                | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |  |  |
| 5.11 ( 5.12 )            | 2, 0.1 |             |                      | 2878                    |                       |  |  |
|                          | DATE   |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/10/200- | 4                     |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application N .  | Applicant(s)   |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/254,333   | SCHWARTE, RUDO   | DLF   | αX                         |  |  |  |  |
| Advisory Notion   | Examin r   | Art Unit   |   | ш,                         |  |  |  |  |
|   | Thanh X Luu  | 2878   |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add  | ress  |                            |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.                                      |  |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply on the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the appropertion of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final | on. See MPEF opriate exteropriate extinuity Office action | ension<br>ension<br>on; or |  |  |  |  |
| imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.   |  |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:  |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  |  |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |  |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  |  |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli  | ng a corresponding number of fi  | nally rejected claim   | s.  |                            |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |  |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti</li></ol>  | on(s):   |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   |  |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  |  |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   |  |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   |  |  | and an  |                            |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-6,9,17-19,21-31 and 34</u> .   |  |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>7,8,10-16,20,32,33,35 and 36</u> .  |  | ·  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  |  |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   |  |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  |  |  |   |                            |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Thanh X Luu<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 2878  | <u></u>   | _                          |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 2. NOTE: Furthermore, Applicant's arguments are not found to be persuasive. Thus, since the application is not in condition for allowance, this advisory action is proper.